

REMARKS

I. CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 USC § 103(a)

The OA on page 2 rejected claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over www.godaddy.com, 02 February 2003 (hereinafter “prior Go Daddy service”) in view of Glogau (US 5983351). Applicant traverses this rejection.

Claims 1, 7, 13 and 19

The OA on pages 3 and 4 states “E) the Facilitator’s web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyright to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (Go Daddy, page 1; ‘Federal Copyright Protection’ and Glogau, col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; ‘computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by . . . format[ing] the ‘packaged’ web site . . . for filing’ and where the Facilitator’s web site inherently requires use of a computer system).” Applicant respectfully disagrees.

A “computer system” does not inherently require a “Facilitator’s web site.”

The Examiner on page 4 of the OA states “the Facilitator’s web site inherently requires use of a computer system.” The Examiner’s reasoning is backwards. What is claimed is a “Facilitator’s web site” and what Glogau teaches in the section cited by the Examiner is a “computer system.” Since the taught “computer system” does not inherently require the claimed “Facilitator’s web site,” Glogau does not teach a “Facilitator’s web site” in the embodiment described in that section. In fact, since Glogau teaches using a client’s computer (see Glogau col. 3, line 59 thru col. 4, line 7), Glogau teaches away from the claimed “Facilitator’s web site” in the embodiment taught in this section cited by the Examiner.

The prior Go Daddy service does not teach a Facilitator’s web site electronically submitting a translated copy of material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office.

The prior Go Daddy service, and all other Go Daddy copyright services used or sold more than one year prior to the filing date of the patent application, required a customer to upload their copyrightable material to Go Daddy’s website in a copyrightable format. The

copyrightable material of the prior Go Daddy service was NOT translated material of 1) an Entrepreneur's web site that was 2) translated by a Facilitator's web site.

Thus, the prior Go Daddy service does not teach a Facilitator's web site electronically submitting a translated copy of material [from an Entrepreneur's web site as stated in step C) and translated by the Facilitator's web site as stated in step D)] to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office.

Glogau, col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 does not teach a Facilitator's web site electronically submitting a translated copy of material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office.

The first embodiment in Glogau described at col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 does not teach a Facilitator's web site electronically submitting a translated copy of material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office. Specifically, Glogau at col. 3, line 59 thru col. 4, line 7 teaches a "client's (i.e., user's) computer system" to "[o]utput the web site and forms in correct order." Thus, in the first embodiment in Glogau, it is the client's computer (and not the claimed "Facilitator's web site") that outputs the web site and forms.

Glogau, col. 12, lines 51-58 does not teach a Facilitator's web site electronically submitting a translated copy of material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office.

The second embodiment in Glogau described at col. 12, lines 51-58 does not teach a Facilitator's web site electronically submitting a translated copy of material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office. Specifically, Glogau at col. 12, lines 63-65 states that the "generated copyright registration forms and associated materials may be downloaded from the server or host computer to the user computer for printing and storage." (underlining added) Thus, in the second embodiment in Glogau, the server or host computer sends the copyright material to the user computer and not the claimed "United States Copyright Office."

Thus, neither Go Daddy nor the two embodiment herein discussed in Glogau and cited by the Examiner teach all the relationships explicitly stated in step E) of claim 1, i.e., of 1) a Facilitator's web site electronically submitting a 2) translated copy of material to be copyrighted to 3) the United States Copyright Office. Since this step is not taught by the prior art, Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of this rejection for claims 1, 7, 13 and 19.

It would not have been obvious to combine elements that are not taught by the prior art.

On page 4 of the OA, the Examiner states it would have been obvious to combine Go Daddy and Glogau to produce the invention as claimed. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Neither Go Daddy nor Glogau teach all of step E) of claim 1, i.e., "the Facilitator's web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office" for the reasons discussed above. Merely showing parts of step E) where known, (such as web sites and the United States Copyright Office), does not teach all the words and relationships in step E) of claim 1. It cannot be obvious to combine all the steps of claim 1, if not all of the steps (specifically step E) in claim 1) were known in the prior art.

Claims 7, 13 and 19

The same reasoning as above applies to claim 7, step E); claim 13, step E) and claim 19, step F) and thus claims 7, 13 and 19 should be allowed.

Claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 14 and 15

Claims 2 and 3 depend from claim 1, claims 8 and 9 depend from claim 7 and claims 14 and 15 depend from claim 13. Because claims 1, 7 and 13 are believed allowable as shown above, claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 14 and 15 should also be allowed at least for this reason.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the prior Go Daddy service and Glogau do not teach all of the claim limitations as explained above. Applicant thus requests the allowance of all pending claims, i.e. claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15 and 19, as soon as possible. Any questions or suggestions regarding this Amendment should be directed to the undersigned attorneys for Applicants at the telephone number or email address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

The Go Daddy Group, Inc.

Date: 3/12/2010

By: /Stewart J. Womack/
Stewart J. Womack
Reg. No. 45,230
Attorney for Applicant

The Go Daddy Group, Inc.
14455 N. Hayden Road, Suite 219
Scottsdale, AZ 85260
480.505.8832
SWomack@GoDaddy.com